Can you show how AI-assisted code reached review?

Australian edition • Fictional worked example

A policy can say that every change gets a human review. The next client questionnaire or internal review will ask for the record that proves it happened on the exact commit.

The **AI Coding Governance Evidence Pack** gives Australian engineering and assurance teams a workbook, editable charter and release checklist for that job. Start with one workflow and turn scattered approvals, pull request records and tool settings into a decision a reviewer can follow.

From a Codex pilot to a review decision

What the reviewer needs	What the worked example shows
What was approved?	Two engineers, one approved repository, synthetic test data and independent review.
Where is the proof?	The change record links the Codex session to the pull request and the exact reviewed commit.
Do the numbers reconcile?	The intake recorded 49 tests; the unfiltered source recorded 50. The reviewer traced the missing row and kept the correction history.
What remains open?	Central retention has not been verified. Keep the existing pilot boundary and hold unrestricted rollout.

One workflow. One evidence trail. One decision the reviewer can explain.

Best fit: Australian vCISO, ISO 27001 and technology assurance consultancies, and engineering leads preparing a client or internal review of AI-assisted development.

AUD 399 total · One-off digital purchase. Includes editable Markdown and PDF templates, an Excel workbook, checklist and the worked example. One organisation may adapt the templates for its own and client work and share completed reports; resale of the blank templates is excluded.

View the pack and buy: thesplatter.xyz/ai-coding-governance. Sold by The Naked Universe. Download the ZIP after confirmed payment. No calls or meetings.

The example is fictional. This independent documentation kit is for internal review; it does not provide certification, legal advice or assurance.